GENERAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE CENTRAL TEXAS REGIONAL MOBILITY AUTHORITY

RESOLUTION NO. 21-070

APPROVING CHANGE ORDER NO. 1 TO THE DESIGN-BUILD AGREEMENT WITH GREAT HILLS CONSTRUCTORS FOR THE 183 NORTH MOBILITY PROJECT

WHEREAS, by Resolution No. 17-023, dated April 26, 2017, the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority Board of Directors (Board) exercised its option as a local toll project entity to develop, finance, construct, and operate the 183 North Mobility Project that includes construction of two express lanes in each direction along a 9-mile stretch of US 183 between SH 45/RM 620 and MoPac, the addition of a fourth general purpose lane in each direction and connections from the 183 North Express Lanes to the MoPac Express Lanes, as well as new shared use path connections, new sidewalks, and cross-street connections for bicycles/pedestrians; and

WHEREAS, in March 2019, the Mobility Authority initiated a procurement seeking firms interested developing the 183 North Mobility Project through a design-build agreement; and

WHEREAS, on August 18, 2020, the Mobility Authority received proposals from the three shortlisted firms remaining in the procurement; and

WHEREAS, changes to traffic patterns resulting from the COVID pandemic necessitated updates to the Traffic & Revenue Study in order to obtain financing for the 183 North Mobility Project; and

WHEREAS, at the January 27, 2021 Board Meeting, the Interim Executive Director and Chief Financial Officer presented the results of the updated Traffic & Revenue Study for the 183 North Mobility Project including new toll rate assumptions; and

WHEREAS, the need to update the Traffic & Revenue Study and revise the tolling scheme caused delays in finalizing the financial plan and contract award for the 183 North Mobility Project; and

WHEREAS, by Resolution No. 21-011, dated February 24, 2021, the Board approved a designbuild agreement with Great Hills Constructors for the development of the 183 North Mobility Project (the "Design-build Agreement") in an amount not to exceed \$477,149,654.00 (the "DB Price"); and

WHEREAS, the Mobility Authority closed financing for the 183 North Mobility Project on April 14, 2021; and

WHEREAS, the Mobility Authority issued NTP1 on April 15, 2021 and NTPs 2 & 3 on June 28, 2021; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the terms of the Design-Build Agreement, Great Hills Constructors is entitled to an adjustment to the DB Price in the amount of \$14,742,133.32 for price escalation based on the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index for Construction Costs since the Mobility Authority did not issue NTP1 and NTP2 within 210 days of the August 18, 2020 proposal due date; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Director recommends that the Board approve Change Order No. 1 to the Design-Build Agreement in the form attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u> to increase the DB Price from \$477,149, 654.00 to \$491,891,787.32 to account for price escalation.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board hereby approves Change Order No. 1 to the Design-Build Agreement with Great Hills Constructors in the form or substantially the same form as attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u> to increase the DB Price to \$491,891,787.32.

Adopted by the Board of Directors of the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority on the 17th day of November 2021.

Submitted and reviewed by:

rov, General Counsel

Approved:

Robert W/Jenkins, Jr. Chairman, Board of Directors

Exhibit A



CHANGE ORDER #01

Escalation Payment for Delayed NTP2/NTP3

CHANGE ORDER #1

Amount: \$14,742,133.32

Description:

Escalation Due to Delayed NTP2/NTP3

- This Change Order is being issued by the Mobility Authority pursuant to Section 13.2.2 of the Design-Build Agreement related to delay of issuance of NTP2 and in response to the PCO Notice from GHC (see Exhibit A).
- The DB Contractor is contractually entitled to compensation if the Mobility Authority does not issue NTP2 within 210 days of the Proposal Date.
- Proposal Date was 8/18/20; NTP2/NTP3 were issued 6/28/21, 314 days after the Proposal Date
- Design-Build Agreement includes a formula for determining the escalation amount due the DB Contractor (see Exhibit B). That formula results in a payment to the DB Contractor in the amount of \$14,742,133.32.
- Sharp reductions in traffic volumes between March 2020 and August 2020 required updated traffic counts in October 2020 to confirm financial viability and to secure funding for the Project.

Change Order Contents:

- 1. Change Order Forms
- 2. Agency Cost Distribution
- 3. Description of Change Order
- 4. Exhibit A PCO-004 Notice
- 5. Exhibit B NTP Escalation Calculations
- 6. Exhibit C Contractual Language



CENTRAL TEXAS REGIONAL MOBILITY AUTHORITY

CENTRAL TEXAS REGIONAL MOBILITY AUTHORITY CHANGE ORDER NUMBER: __1__

		Project Name:	183 North Mo	bility Project
1. CONTRACTOR: Great Hills Constructors ("GHC")		Contract No:	Design-Build	Agreement
		CSJ:	0151-05-113;	0151-05-114
2. Change Order Work Limits: Sta. <u>N/A</u> to	Sta. <u>N/A</u>		0151-06-142;	0151-06-143
			3136-0	
Type of Change(on federal-aid non-exempt projects):	Major (Major/Mino		183	
4 December 4D (2 May In order of)	un un autor a Duima au fi	County:	Travis/Wi	
4. Reasons: 4D (3 Max In order of i	mportance - Primary fi	irst) TxDOT Dist: FAP Number:	Austir 2021	. ,
		FAF Number.	2021	339
5. Describe the work being revised:				
Pursuant to Section 13.2.2 of the Design-Build Agreement, Great Hills Co	nstructors (GHC) is cor	ntractually entitled to escalation a	as a result of	
delayed issuance of NTP2/NTP3. This change order compensates GHC		-		
			Come Committee	
Sharp reductions in traffic volumes between March 2020 and August 2020 viability of the Project.	required updated tran	fic counts in October 2020 to con	Tirm Tinancial	
6. Work to be performed in accordance with Items: N/	1			
7. New or revised plan sheet(s) are attached and numbered:	N/A			
8. New general notes to the contract are attached: \Box	Yes 🗹 No)		
9. New Special Provisions to Item No. and Special Specificat	on Item are att	tached. N/A		
Each signatory hereby warrants that each has the authority to exect				
This Change Order Proposal includes all known and anticipated direct, indirect and consequential impacts or amounts which may be incurred as a result of the event,	The following inf	ormation must be provided		
occurrence or matter giving rise to this change, and D/B Contractor has no reason to	The following line	ormation must be provided		
believe and does not believe that the factual basis for this Change Order is falsely				
represented. If the Change Order Proposal includes claims of Sucontractors or Suppliers, the D/B Contractor has reviewed such claims and has determined in good faith that the	Time Ext. #: N	NA Dave added on this CO		0
claims are justified as to both entitlement and amount.		V/A Days added on this CO	-	U
	Amount added by	this change order:	\$ 1	4,742,133.32
THE CONTRACTOR Date				
	For TxDOT/CTRM	IA/FHWA use only:		
Ву	Current Contract A	mount		7,149,654.00
	Revised Contract Am	nount To Date	\$ 49	91,891,787.32
Typed/Printed Name				
	Days FHWA Non-Par	rticipating	N/.	Α
Typed/Printed Title	CO Portion FHWA	Non-Participating		
	<u> </u>			
RECOMMENDED FOR EXECUTION:				
Engineer's Seal:				

		CTRMA Director of Engineering	Date
		CTRMA Deputy Executive Director	Date
 GEC Deputy PM / Construction Manager	Date	CTRMA Executive Director	Date
 GEC Project Manager	Date	TxDOT Representative	Date
 CTRMA Construction Representative	Date	FHWA Area Engineer	Date

183 North Mobility Project

CHANGE ORDER NUMBER: ____1

TABLE A: Force Account Work and Materials Placed into Stock		Estima	ated Cost:	\$0.00			
LABOR	QTY	HOURLY RATE	TOTAL	EQUIPMENT	DAYS	HOURLY RATE	TOTAL

TABLE B: Contract Items

					ORIGINAL + PREVIOUSLY REVISED		NEW			
CHANGE ITEM	REASON CODE	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	ITEM COST	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	ITEM COST	OVERRUN/ UNDERRUN
		N/A								
EXTRA WORK ITEM	REASON CODE	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	ITEM COST	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE ITEM COST		OVERRUN/ UNDERRUN
xxx-xxxx	4D	Escalation Resulting from Delayed NTP2/NTP3 LS 1.0 \$14,742,133.32 \$ 14,742,133.32								
		TOTALS			\$-			\$ 14,742,133.32	\$-	

CHANGE ORDER REASON(S) CODE CHART

1. Design Error or Omission	1A. Incorrect PS&E
	1B. Other
2. Differing Site Conditions	2A. Dispute resolution (expense caused by conditions and/or resulting delay)
(unforeseeable)	2B. Unavailable material
	2C. New development (conditions changing after PS&E completed)
	2D. Environmental remediation
	2E. Miscellaneous difference in site conditions (unforeseeable)(Item 9)
	2F. Site conditions altered by an act of nature
	2G. Unadjusted utility (unforeseeable)
	2H. Unacquired Right-of-Way (unforeseeable)
	2I. Additional safety needs (unforeseeable)
	2J. Other
3. CTRMA Convenience	3A. Dispute resolution (not resulting from error in plans or differing site conditions)
	3B. Public relations improvement
	3C. Implementation of a Value Engineering finding
	3D. Achievement of an early project completion
	3E. Reduction of future maintenance
	3F. Additional work desired by the CTRMA
	3G. Compliance requirements of new laws and/or policies
	3H. Cost savings opportunity discovered during construction
	3I. Implementation of improved technology or better process
	3J. Price adjustment on finished work (price reduced in exchange for acceptance)
	3K. Addition of stock account or material supplied by state provision
	3L. Revising safety work/measures desired by the CTRMA
	3M. Other
4. Third Party Accommodation	4A. Failure of a third party to meet commitment
	4B. Third party requested work
	4C. Compliance requirements of new laws and/or policies (impacting third party)
	4D. Other
5. Contractor Convenience	54 Contractor overeiges option to change the traffic control plan
	5A. Contractor exercises option to change the traffic control plan
	5B. Contractor requested change in the sequence and/or method of work
	5C. Payment for Partnering workshop
	5D. Additional safety work/measures desired by the contractor
	5E. Other
6. Untimely ROW/Utilities	6A. Right-of-Way not clear (third party responsibility for ROW)
	6B. Right-of-Way not clear (County responsibility for ROW)
	6C. Utilities not clear
	6D. Other

Change Order No. 1 -- Revised Contract Amount to Date Summary

Original Contract: \$ 477,149,654.00

		,,		Revis	ed Contract Amt to
		Amount	Description	Date:	
DRB	\$	-	Contractually Allowed DRB Expenditures	\$	477,149,654.00
Partnering	\$	-	Contractually Allowed Partnering Expenditures	\$	477,149,654.00
LRs/LDs	\$	-	Contractual Liquidated Damages	\$	477,149,654.00
C.O. #1	\$	14,742,133.32 hary Prepared by:	NTP Escalation	\$	491,891,787.32 10/5/2021
	Summ	lary Prepared by:		Data	10/5/2021

Daniel Freeman, PE

Date

Description of Change Order #01

Escalation due to Delayed NTP2/NTP3 Issuance

This change order is being issued for an escalation payment resulting from delayed issuance of NTP2/NTP3 that the Design Build Contractor is entitled to under Section 13.2.2 of the Design-Build Agreement. According to this provision of the Design-Build Agreement, the DB Contactor is entitled to escalation if there is a delay to the issuance of either NTP1, NTP2, or NTP3. The Design-Build Agreement requires the Mobility Authority to issue NTP2/NTP3 within 210 days of the Proposal Date. The Mobility Authority issued NTP2/NTP3 314 days after the Proposal Date. Accordingly, the Design Build Contractor is entitled to compensation using the following formula:

Adjusted DP = (Proposal DP) x (ENR CCI)/(BI)

Where:

The Base Index (BI) is the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index for the calendar month which occurs 210 days after the Proposal Date [March 2021].

The ENR CCI is the Engineering News Record Cost Index for the month in which NTP2 is issued [June 2021].

Detailed calculations are included in Exhibit B.

The resulting overall Change Order cost for Change Order #01 is a payment to Great Hills Constructors in the amount of \$14,742,133.32. This change does not result in an impact to the Project milestones.

Delay of Issuance of NTP1 and NTP2

Significant decreases in traffic around the State, region and on CTRMA facilities led to T&R Consultants not being able to certify traffic volumes. The table below illustrates transactions on CTRMA facilities in 2020:

Week Ending	Combined CTR	RMA Facilities	Mopac Express Lanes		
	Transactions % Change*		Transactions	% Change*	
March 7, 2020	2,647,064	-	252,863	-	
April 11, 2020	1,061,442	-59.9%	22,216	-91.2%	
August 15, 2020	1,937,740	-26.8%	79,940	-68.4%	

* The percent change values are calculated against the March 7, 2020 transactions.

To confirm viability of the project and secure bonds, CTRMA needed to re-develop its traffic and revenue (T&R) forecasts and variable tolling scheme. This included updating traffic counts to current conditions. It is standard industry practice to take traffic counts during the fall or spring seasons to account for normal traffic conditions, thus, traffic counts could not be taken until October 2020. Draft T&R forecasts were completed in November 2020 and investment-grade T&R forecasts were completed in January 2021.

The following exhibits are provided with this change order:

Exhibit A – PCO Notice Exhibit B – NTP Escalation Calculations Exhibit C – Contractual Language

Exhibit A

PCO-004 Notice

TRANSMITTAL



183 North Mobility Project

Project Number: 20183N22701C

Sent Date:	October 5, 2021				
Transmitted Via SharePoint To: Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority Attn: Dan Freeman daniel.freeman@atkinsglobal.com		Transmitted By: Great Hills Constructors 9430 Research Blvd. Suite 400 Austin, TX 78959			
Submittal Number:	SUB-0098-GHC-CW-AD-R0-PCO-0004-2021-10-05				
Submittal Title:	PCO-0004 Delay in Issuance of NTP				
Spec Section:	DBA 13.2.2				
Spec Section Title:	Delay in Issuance of NTP1, N	ITP2, and NTP3			

The following files are included with the submission.

- PCO-004-GHC-RMA-CW-R0-Delay of NTP.pdf
- EXTERNAL RE CCI Request.msg
- CCI Request.msg

PCO Notice



183 North Mobility Project

Project Number: 20183N22701C

PCO Notice:	0004	Date:	October 5, 2021							
Transmitted To: Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority Attn: Dan Freeman daniel.freeman@atkinsglobal.com		Transmitted By: Great Hills Constructors 9430 Research Blvd. Suite 400 Austin, TX 78959								
Change Title:	Delay in Issuance of NTP									
Change Per section 13.2.2 of the DBA, the delay in the issuance of NTP 2 to the DB Contractor entitles the DB contractor to a contractor to an adjustment in the DB price for the Work, based on the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index ("ENR CCI") Mobility Authority Personnel with knowledge: Include Name, Title, and associated activity Dan Freeman - CTRMA Oscar Solis - CTRMA Supporting Documents (including emails, telephone calls, other correspondence written or verbal): - - Meeting with CTRMA on 8-11-2021 - - E-mails from Pat Pluenneke to Dan Freeman on 8-10-2021, E-mail from Dan Freeman										
Schedule Impact:	N/A									
Basis of Additional Work: Per escalation calculation – request is for \$14,742,133.32										
Requested Respon	Requested Response Date from Mobility Authority:									
October 15, 2021										
Impact of Delayed	mpact of Delayed Response:									

N/A

Freeman, Daniel W

From:	Pluenneke, Pat
Sent:	Tuesday, August 10, 2021 7:45 AM
То:	Freeman, Daniel W
Subject:	CCI Request
Attachments:	Construction Index Presentation.pdf

Dan,

Attached is our request for the CCI escalation payment. We would like to discuss during the partnering meeting tomorrow. Thanks,

Pat Pluenneke

Program Manager

Archer Western | The Walsh Group C: 512.619.5238

www.walshgroup.com

Facebook | LinkedIn | Twitter | Instagram

Construction Cost Index Presentation Great Hills Constructors 8-10-2021

Contract Requirements:

13.2.2 Delay in Issuance of NTP1, NTP2, and NTP3; Escalation

13.2.2.1 The Mobility Authority intends to issue NTP1 following the Finance Closing Date, which will authorize the DB Contractor to proceed with the NTP1 Work. The Mobility Authority intends to issue NTP2 for the remaining Work following the Finance Closing Date. If NTP1 and NTP2 have not been issued by the Mobility Authority as of 210 Days after the Proposal Date, due to no fault of any member of the DB Contractor Group, this DB Agreement shall remain in full force and effect, without any modification to the terms and conditions hereof, provided that the DB Contractor shall be entitled to an adjustment in the DB Price for the Work, based on the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index for Construction Costs ("ENR CCI"), from a base date commencing as of the expiration of such 210 Day period and until the date of issuance of NTP calculated as follows:

Adjusted $DP = (Proposal DP) \times (ENR CCI)/(BI)$

The Base Index (BI) is the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index for the calendar month which occurs 210 Days after the Proposal Date. The ENR CCI is the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index for the month in which NTP2 is issued.

- We have examined how cost increases have impacted this project specifically in Austin, TX. The Construction Cost Index includes 4 components, all of which must be purchased or hired locally for our Austin project. The city closest to Austin and reflective of the Texas buying market is Dallas.
- GHC's analysis utilizes the Dallas CCI provided by ENR, as this is the most appropriate and comparable to this project and Austin TX.
- The Dallas and especially the Austin markets have experienced surges in growth and expansion that have far outpaced the National Market. These markets are incurring higher prices proportionally to this growth

CCI Calculation:

The Calculated Delta for Escalation Days addresses escalations being based on the percentage of the monthly cycle for which escalations were counted. For example, the escalations started on March 16, which was 13 days into the cycle, GHC accounted for only 62.9% of that month's increase. This approach is most appropriate as it avoids situations where full months escalation would be applied to potentially a shortened cycle, or conversely to a longer cycle. The table below establishes the exact time frames the CI applies to the project.

Significant Dates:

3-16-21 (210 Days after proposal submission date = start of escalation period)4-5-2021 (NTP1)6-28-2021 (NTP2 & NTP3 = end of escalation period)

DALLAS									Calculated	
	ENR		Escalation	Escalation	Delta		Escalation	Escalation	Delta for	
	Cycle	ENR	Start in	End in	over	Days in	Days /	Days / Cycle	Escalation	Calculated
ENR CCI	Start	Cycle End	Cycle	Cycle	Month	Cycle	Cycle	(%)	Days	Escalation
6206.66	3-Mar	6-Apr	16-Mar	6-Apr	0.84%	35	22	62.9%	0.53%	\$ 2,516,614
6259.18	7-Apr	5-May	7-Apr	5-May	2.05%	29	29	100.0%	2.05%	\$ 9,814,140
6389.92	6-May	9-Jun	6-May	9-Jun	0.53%	35	35	100.0%	0.53%	\$ 2,571,733
6423.67	10-Jun	6-Jul	10-Jun	28-Jun	2.27%	27	19	70.4%	1.60%	\$ 7,867,066
6573.01	7-Jul	N/A	7-Jul	N/A	N/A					
								TÓTÁL	4.70%	\$ 22,769,553
								GHC Price	\$ 477, 149, 654	
								Adjustment	\$ 22,769,553	
								Adjusted Price	\$ 499,919,207	

Freeman, Daniel W

From:	Freeman, Daniel W < Daniel.Freeman@atkinsglobal.com>
Sent:	Friday, August 13, 2021 12:03 PM
То:	Pluenneke, Pat
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] RE: CCI Request
Attachments:	NTP Delay Calcs.xlsx

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting them and know the content is safe.

Pat,

As stated in the Executive Partnering Meeting, attached are the CTRMA's calculations for NTP Escalation. Of particular importance, these calculations are consistent with the language in Section 13.2.2 of the Design-Build Agreement (applicable data from this section provided below). A couple of points of note:

1. Engineering News-Record (ENR) defines the Construction Cost Index (CCI) as the 20-city average. The following language is extracted directly from ENR's CCI webpage:

"HOW ENR BUILDS THE INDEX: 200 hours of common labor at the 20-city average of common labor rates, plus 25 cwt of standard structural steel shapes at the mill price prior to 1996 and the fabricated 20-city price from 1996, plus 1.128 tons of portland cement at the 20-city price, plus 1,088 board ft of 2 x 4 lumber at the 20-city price."

Accordingly, the Mobility Authority used the ENR CCI, the 20-city average, as defined by ENR.

2. The Mobility Authority used the *monthly* values for the ENR CCI and Base Index (BI) as stated in the Design-Build Agreement. These values include:

The monthly ENR CCI value for June 2021 of 12112(the month NTP2/3 were issued)The monthly ENR CCI value for March 2021, the BI, of 11749(the month 210 days beyond the ProposalDate)

Again, this methodology is consistent with that stated in the Design-Build Agreement.

Design-Build Agreement, Section 13.2.2 (emphasis added)

Adjusted DP = (Proposal DP) x (ENR CCI)/(BI)

The Base Index (BI) is the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index for the **calendar month** which occurs 210 Days after the Proposal Date. The ENR CCI is the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index for the **month** in which NTP2 is issued.

Pat, if you disagree with this approach, we may need to escalate the issue above us (since those above us are already briefed on the subject). Please let me know if you would like to discuss this further.

Best Regards,

Daniel W. Freeman, P.E.

Sr. Project Director, Tolls

ATKINS, member of the SNC-Lavalin Group

11801 Domain Blvd, Suite 500, Austin, Texas 78758 Tel: +1 (512) 327 6840 | Fax: +1 (512) 327 2453 | Cell: +1 (512) 413 6764 Email: daniel.freeman@atkinsglobal.com | Web: www.atkinsglobal.com/northamerica |Careers: www.atkinsglobal.com/careers

From: Pluenneke, Pat <ppluenneke@walshgroup.com> Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2021 7:45 AM To: Freeman, Daniel W <Daniel.Freeman@atkinsglobal.com> Subject: CCI Request

Dan,

Attached is our request for the CCI escalation payment. We would like to discuss during the partnering meeting tomorrow.

Thanks,

Pat Pluenneke Program Manager

Archer Western | The Walsh Group C: 512.619.5238

www.walshgroup.com

Facebook | LinkedIn | Twitter | Instagram

At SNC-Lavalin, we work flexible hours around the world. Although I have sent this email at a time convenient for me, I don't expect you to respond until it works for you.

NOTICE – This email message and any attachments may contain information or material that is confidential, privileged, and/or subject to copyright or other rights. Any unauthorized viewing, disclosure, retransmission, dissemination, or other use of or reliance on this message or anything contained therein is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you believe you may have received this message in error, kindly inform the sender by return email and delete this message from your system. Thank you.

Exhibit **B**

NTP Escalation Payment Calculations

Escalation Calculations for Delayed NTP2/NTP3

These calculations are based on Section 13.2.2 of the Design-Build Agreement (see Exhibit C)

Relevant Dates:

Proposal Date	8/18/2020
210 Days after Proposal Date	3/16/2021
NTP1 Issuance Date	4/14/2021
NTP2/NTP3 Issuance Date	6/28/2021

Formula for Escalation due to Delayed NTP2/NTP3:

Adjusted DP = (Proposal DP) x (ENR CCI) / (BI)

Where:

- Adjusted DP is the adjusted Design Build Price
- Proposal DP is the original Design Build Price (\$477,149,654.00)
- ENR CCI is the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index for the month in which NTP2/NTP3 were issued (value for June 2021 is 12112)
- The Base Index (BI) is the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index for the calendar month which occurs 210 days after the Proposal Date (value for March 2021 is 11749)

The values from ENR Construction Cost Index are included on the following page.

Adjusted DP = (\$477,149,654) x (12,112)/(11749) Adjusted DP = \$491,891,787.32

Increased DP = \$491,891,787.32 - \$477,149,654 Increased DP = \$14,742,133.32 (amount of Change Order 01)

ENR'S CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX

YEAR	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	AVG.
2021	11627	11698	11749	11848	11989	12112	12237	12463					
2020	11392	11396	11397	11412	11418	11436	11439	11455	11499	11539	11579	11626	11465.67
2019	11206	11213	11228	11228	11230	11268	11293	11311	11311	11326	11381	11381	11281
2018	10878	10889	10959	10971	11013	11069	11116	11124	11170	11183	11184	11186	11062
2017	10542	10559	10667	10678	10692	10703	10789	10826	10823	10817	10870	10873	10737
2016	10132	10181	10242	10279	10315	10337	10379	10385	10403	10434	10442	10530	10338
2015	9972	9962	9972	9992	9975	10039	10037	10039	10065	10128	10092	10152	10035
2014	9664	9681	9702	9750	9796	9800	9835	9846	9870	9886	9912	9936	9806
2013	9437	9453	9456	9484	9516	9542	9552	9545	9552	9689	9666	9668	9547
2012	9176	9198	9268	9273	9290	9291	9324	9351	9341	9376	9398	9412	9308
2011	8938	8998	9011	9027	9035	9053	9080	9088	9116	9147	9173	9172	9070
2010	8660	8672	8671	8677	8761	8805	8844	8837	8836	8921	8951	8952	8799
2009	8549	8533	8534	8528	8574	8578	8566	8564	8586	8596	8592	8641	8570
2008	8090	8094	8109	8112	8141	8185	8293	8362	8557	8623	8602	8551	8310
2007	7880	7880	7856	7865	7942	7939	7959	8007	8050	8045	8092	8089	7966
2006	7660	7689	7692	7695	7691	7700	7721	7722	7763	7883	7911	7888	7751
2005	7297	7298	7309	7355	7398	7415	7422	7479	7540	7563	7630	7647	7446
2004	6825	6862	6957	7017	7065	7109	7126	7188	7298	7314	7312	7308	7115
2003	6581	6640	6627	6635	6642	6694	6695	6733	6741	6771	6794	6782	6694
2002	6462	6462	6502	6480	6512	6532	6605	6592	6589	6579	6578	6563	6538
2001	6281	6272	6279	6286	6288	6318	6404	6389	6391	6397	6410	6390	6343

Exhibit C

Contractual Language from Design-Build Agreement

and other fees, costs and/or royalties imposed with respect to the Work and any equipment, materials, labor or services included therein.

13.2 NTP1 and NTP2 Work Payments; Delay in Issuance of NTP1 and/or NTP2

13.2.1 NTP1 and NTP2 Work Payments

13.2.1.1 The DB Contractor acknowledges and agrees that (i) the Mobility Authority will not pay for NTP1 Work prior to issuance of the NTP1, (ii) except for NTP1 Work, the Mobility Authority will not pay for any other Work prior to issuance of NTP2, (iii) any NTP1 Work performed by the DB Contractor prior to the issuance of NTP1 and any other Work performed by the DB Contractor prior to issuance of NTP2 shall, therefore, be performed solely at the DB Contractor's risk and (iv) the Mobility Authority shall have no liability hereunder and no responsibility to pay the DB Contractor for any Work performed by the DB Contractor unless and until the subject NTP is issued by the Mobility Authority, in its sole discretion.

13.2.2 Delay in Issuance of NTP1, NTP2, and NTP3; Escalation

13.2.2.1 The Mobility Authority intends to issue NTP1 following the Finance Closing Date, which will authorize the DB Contractor to proceed with the NTP1 Work. The Mobility Authority intends to issue NTP2 for the remaining Work following the Finance Closing Date. If NTP1 and NTP2 have not been issued by the Mobility Authority as of 210 Days after the Proposal Date, due to no fault of any member of the DB Contractor Group, this DB Agreement shall remain in full force and effect, without any modification to the terms and conditions hereof, provided that the DB Contractor shall be entitled to an adjustment in the DB Price for the Work, based on the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index for Construction Costs ("ENR CCI"), from a base date commencing as of the expiration of such 210 Day period and until the date of issuance of NTP calculated as follows:

Adjusted $DP = (Proposal DP) \times (ENR CCI)/(BI)$

The Base Index (BI) is the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index for the calendar month which occurs 210 Days after the Proposal Date. The ENR CCI is the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index for the month in which NTP2 is issued.

13.2.2.2 If NTP1 and NTP2 have not been issued as of 365 Days after the Proposal Date due to no fault of any member of the DB Contractor Group, the DB Contractor may seek to negotiate a Change Order, including an extension in time for issuance of NTP1 and/or NTP2 and an increase in the DB Price mutually acceptable to the DB Contractor and the Mobility Authority, provided that any extension in time for issuance of NTP2 beyond 365 Days after the Proposal Date shall be subject to the concurrence of Surety. If the DB Contractor does not wish to seek a Change Order as provided above or the Mobility Authority fails to issue a Change Order acceptable to the DB Contractor, then following 365 Days after the Proposal Date, the DB Contractor's sole remedy shall be to terminate this DB Agreement by delivery of notice of termination to the Mobility Authority.

13.2.2.3 If NTP2 has not been issued within 90 Days after the date of issuance of NTP1 through no fault of any member of the DB Contractor Group, the DB Contractor may be entitled to a Change Order to extend the Completion Deadline to the extent the DB